·····	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ale
Notice of Allowability	09/992,699 Examiner	VAN DEN BRINK ET AL. Art Unit	<u>:</u>
	Dixomara Vargas	2859	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication on			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS I herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8: NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.3:	IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is si	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due cours	se THIS
1. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ This communication is responsive to $ extit{Amendment with Residue}$	CE filed 01/03/05.		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-18</u> .			
3. $igtie$ The drawings filed on <u>14 November 2001</u> are accepted b	by the Examiner.		
<ol> <li>Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>a)    ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> </ol>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) o	or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	ve been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li></ol>			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority d</li></ol>	locuments have been received	in this national stage application f	rom the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	" of this communication to file IMENT of this application.	a reply complying with the requirer	nents
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be sub- INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gi	mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTIC declaration is deficient.	E OF
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") mi	ust be submitted.		
(a) I including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe	erson's Patent Drawing Review	( PTO-948) attached	
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b)  including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date	r's Amendment / Comment or i	in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in	1.84(c)) should be written on the the header according to 37 CFF	e drawings in the front (not the back	) of
<ol> <li>DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT</li> </ol>	osit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	RIAL must be submitted. Note t	he
Attachment(s)			
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<u></u>	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>'</u> )
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No./M	mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No./Mail Date</li> </ol>	700), 7. 🔲 Examiners A	Amendment/Comment	
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowanc	е
of Biological Material	9.		

Application/Control Number: 09/992,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 1-18 are allowed.

- 2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
  - a. With respect to claim 1, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a magnetic resonance imaging method comprising the step of correcting the signal amplitudes includes calculating deviations of the actual magnetic gradient field from an ideal gradient field using the geometrical shape of the gradient coils and the time profile of the temporary current pulse through the gradient coils in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
  - b. With respect to claim 4, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a magnetic resonance imaging method comprising the step wherein a pulse sequence includes a bipolar gradient pair in a preparation section in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
  - c. With respect to claim 5, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a magnetic resonance imaging method comprising the step wherein a pulse sequence includes a pair of gradient pulses that have the same polarity and are separated by a refocusing pulse in the preparation section in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,699

Art Unit: 2859

A -- TI--: t. 2050

d. With respect to claim 8, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a magnetic resonance imaging system comprising means for calculating deviations of the actual magnetic gradient field from an ideal gradient field using the geometrical shape of the gradient coils and the time profile of the temporary current pulse through the gradient coils in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.

Page 3

- e. With respect to claim 9, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a computer readable medium storing a computer program with computer executable instructions for performing the step of correcting the signal amplitudes includes calculating deviations of the actual magnetic gradient field from an ideal gradient field using the geometrical shape of the gradient coils and the time profile of the temporary current pulse through the gradient coils in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
- f. With respect to claim 11, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a magnetic resonance imaging system comprising means for generating a pulse sequence that includes a bipolar gradient pair in a preparation section in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
- g. With respect to claim 13, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a magnetic resonance imaging system comprising means for generating a pulse sequence that includes a pair of

Application/Control Number: 09/992,699

Art Unit: 2859

gradient pulses that have the same polarity and are separated by a refocusing pulse in the preparation section in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.

Page 4

- h. With respect to claim 15, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a computer readable medium storing a computer program with computer executable instructions for performing the step of generating a pulse sequence that includes a bipolar gradient pair in a preparation section in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
- i. With respect to claim 17, the claim has been found allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest a computer readable medium storing a computer program with computer executable instructions for performing the step of generating a pulse sequence that includes a pair of gradient pulses that have the same polarity and are separated by a refocusing pulse in the preparation section in combination with the remaining limitations of the claim.
- j. With respect to claims 2, 3, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 16 and 18, the claims have been allowed due to their dependency on claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 15 and 17 above.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/992,699

Art Unit: 2859

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (571) 272-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 2859

January 18, 2005

Diego Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 5

Technology Center 2800